

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.            | FILING DATE               | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR  | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/665,199                 | 09/18/2003                | Gyu-Bong Kim  | 0001422/3062USU     | 5570             |
| Paul D. Greeley            | 7590 01/10/2007           | 09/18/2003 Gyu-Bong Kim 01/10/2007 q. uggiero & Perle, L.L.P. | EXAMINER            |                  |
| Ohlandt, Greele            | ey, Ruggiero & Perle, L.I |   | KARLS, SHAY LYNN    |                  |
| 10th Floor<br>One Landmark |                           |   | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                            |                           |   | 1744                | ·.               |
|                            |                           |   | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                            |                           |   | 01/10/2007          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Notice of Abandanmant   | 10/665,199   | KIM, GYU-BONG  |  |  |  |
| Notice of Abandonment   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |
|   | Shay L. Karls  | 1744   |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication  |  | ith the correspondence address   |  |  |  |
| This application is abandoned in view of:   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the C</li> <li>(a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate period for reply (including a total extension of times)</li> </ol>   | of Mailing or Transmission date  | d ), which is after the expiration of the  |  |  |  |
| (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it define the control of the c | oes not constitute a proper reply  | under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection  |  |  |  |
| application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely  | (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114). |  |  |  |  |
|   | A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).   |  |  |  |  |
| (d) ⊠ No reply has been received.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee<br>from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTC)  |  | e, within the statutory period of three months   |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>(a)           The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable,</li></ul>  | was received on (with a ry period for payment of the issu  | Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated to the control of the |  |  |  |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A bala   | ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.   |  |  |  |  |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$   | The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$  |  |  |  |  |
| (c) $\square$ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, ha  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as Allowability (PTO-37).  | required by, and within the three  | e-month period set in, the Notice of   |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on _<br/>after the expiration of the period for reply.</li> </ul>  | (with a Certificate of Mailin  | g or Transmission dated), which is   |  |  |  |
| (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the applicants.   | y the attorney or agent of record  | , the assignee of the entire interest, or all of   |  |  |  |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.   | y an attorney or agent (acting in  | a representative capacity under 37 CFR   |  |  |  |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Inte of the decision has expired and there are no allowed  | erference rendered on and claims.  | d because the period for seeking court review  |  |  |  |
| 7. Mark The reason(s) below:  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Examiner called to check status of case and Atto  | orney stated that case is aba  | ndoned.  |  |  |  |
| Skans   |  | SLADY JP CORCORAN  |  |  |  |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   | S  | UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER   |  |  |  |

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment

Part of Paper No. 20070104